

Message

From: Subramaniam, Ravi [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E738F9D27062486E9047184B867FD968-SUBRAMANIAM, RAVI]
Sent: 6/26/2014 6:50:13 PM
To: Jinot, Jennifer [jinot.jennifer@epa.gov]; Bussard, David [bussard.david@epa.gov]; **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**
Subject: FW: Letter to editor in clearance
Attachments: Bottom up letter-jj-CC rs 6-26-14 DB.docx; Bottom up letter-jj-CC rs 6-26-14 DB.docx

David:

I think you forgot to include everyone on this. I am fine with this revised version from you. I don't have a major issue with the last of the following sentences; I just think it reads poorly.



Bottom up
letter-jj-CC rs 6-2...

We can leave it in.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ravi.

Ravi Subramaniam, PhD; Associate, Quantitative Methods Branch,
IRIS Division, NCEA-ORD, US EPA
(703) 347-8606 (Tu, W, Th); **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** (M, F)

-----Original Message-----

From: Bussard, David

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 12:04 PM

To: Subramaniam, Ravi
Subject: RE: Letter to editor in clearance

Ravi,

We may need to just discuss.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I also thought we should have a draft of the text we would keep. So, I think the sense of you all was to keep the original text re the sentences on assumptions, at least the first part, and perhaps use my change to last part.

Can you take a look at this version? Particularly paragraph 2. If email won't get us to closure, lets meet briefly

David

-----Original Message-----

From: Subramaniam, Ravi

Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 11:10 AM

To: [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)], Jinot, Jennifer; Bussard, David

Subject: RE: Letter to editor in clearance

Attaching my comments and edits -- added to Chao's version.

Ravi.

Ravi Subramaniam, PhD; Associate, Quantitative Methods Branch,
IRIS Division, NCEA-ORD, US EPA
(703) 347-8606 (Tu, W, Th); [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] (M, F)

-----Original Message-----

From: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2014 1:52 PM

To: Jinot, Jennifer

Cc: Subramaniam, Ravi; Bussard, David

Subject: Re: Letter to editor in clearance

Ravi:

Since I'll be out to my farm for the whole afternoon, and running around tomorrow, I thought that it is better for me to provide my comments now to prevent unnecessary delay. I don't see any problems after taking into accounts of all the comments and suggestions by David and Jennifer. I add only 2 comments--CC1 and CC19.

Chao

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jennifer Jinot" <Jinot.Jennifer@epa.gov>

To: "Ravi Subramaniam" <Subramaniam.Ravi@epa.gov>, "David Bussard"

<Bussard.David@epa.gov>, Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 2:23:44 PM

Subject: RE: Letter to editor in clearance

here are my comments/suggestions. jj

From: Subramaniam, Ravi

Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 2:07 PM

To: Bussard, David; Jinot, Jennifer; Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

| Subject: RE: Letter to editor in clearance

| Looks like we are on a roll! I suggest we do the edits sequentially.

| Jennifer is on it now. I'll work on it after Jennifer circulates hers,

| then Chao, and then we will send it to Kenny

| Ravi.

|

| Ravi Subramaniam, PhD; Associate, Quantitative Methods Branch, IRIS

| Division, NCEA-ORD, US EPA

| (703) 347-8606 (Tu, W, Th); Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (M, F)

|

| From: Bussard, David

| Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 12:27 PM

| To: Subramaniam, Ravi; Jinot, Jennifer;

| Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

| Subject: FW: Letter to editor in clearance

| Ravi, Jennifer and Chao,

| I took a shot at considering the comments from Lynn and Kacee.
In

| some places, I might prefer our original wording, but I figure
if we

| can live with the changes we should do so, and take it as a signal
| that while we may have had a good reason for something (such
as
| putting a phrase in quotes), that may not have been what our
words and
| punctuations conveyed to others.

The one I am less inclined to take is:

- **Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**
-

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

| I took a shot at redrafting the two sentences Lynn and Kacee
flagged
| in second paragraph, on the theory that perhaps more words is
less
| "elegant" but clearer. But, one of you might easily improve
on
| that first take at revision... !

If we settle, then we can check with Kenny.

David

From: Flowers, Lynn
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 10:07 AM
To: Bussard, David; Jinot, Jennifer; Subramaniam, Ravi
Cc: Deener, Kathleen; Walsh, Debra
Subject: Letter to editor in clearance

| HI all: I just cleared this through STICS but had a couple of
edits.

| There is also one important place where I don't think the text
is
| really clear. See what you think about that. I put notes in each
place

| to explain my logic!

| I am ccing Debra as the package goes to her next.

| Lynn

| Lynn Flowers, PhD, DABT

| Associate Director for Health

| National Center for Environmental Assessment US EPA

Washington, DC

| 703-347-8537



Bottom up
letter-jj-CC rs 6-2...